



Record Minutes of Pre-Application Meeting with the Department of Economic Development, Tourism and Environmental Affairs (EDTEA) eThekweni District

Project Sibaya Waterline BA

Date and Venue 18.03.2016 Tongaat Hulett Developments Office

Supporting documents Presentation
Google Earth KMZ File

Attendance

Name	Organisation	Attended
Humayrah Bassa (HB)	Royal HaskoningDHV	X
Nonhlanhla Khoza (NK)	Tongaath Hulett Developments	X
Yugeshni Govender (YG)	EDTEA	X
Janine Isaac (JI)	EDTEA	X

Item	Discussion / Resolution	Action
1	HB welcomed all and explained the objective of the meeting. The objective being to introduce the project and the approach to the environmental assessments as well as to seek guidance from the EDTEA.	N/A
2	It was confirmed that Royal HaskoningDHV would be the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) for the Sibaya Waterline BA. It was explained that a separate EIA was done and an Environmental Authorisation (EA) issued for Nodes 1 & 5 and that a separate EIA was underway for Node 4 by a different EAP.	N/A
3	HB explained the background of the project and provided a description of the proposed scope of work as per the attached presentation slides. She explained the need to apply for the waterline separately to the Node 4 EIA to ensure that water can be provided in time to service	N/A

Item	Discussion / Resolution	Action
	Nodes 1 & 5 when they go operational in September 2017. She further explained that in order to be operational in September 2017, construction of the waterline needs to commence in September 2016. She further explained that the waterline runs through Cornubia North and Sibaya Node 4.	
4	HB explained that options were considered to try to avoid a BA, however, due to the 2 wetland crossings, a BA is required. HB explained that alternatives will involve the route alignment and the method of wetland crossing (i.e. trenching vs pipe bridges).	N/A
5	HB explained the Environmental Regulatory Process, noting that a Water Use Licence Application (WULA) had already been submitted to the Department of Water and Sanitation for the waterline as part of a WULA for Nodes 1 & 5 and Ancillary Infrastructure.	N/A
6	HB explained that GIS mapping and a specialist investigation would be to determine if Listing Notice 3 activities are triggered. HB requested that the applicability of Listing Notice 3 is confirmed by the Competent Authority as required by the Application Form for Environmental Authorisation.	Listing Notice 3 Maps will be submitted with the Application. HB is to send Google Earth kmz files to YG to confirm Listing Notice 3 activities.
7	HB and YG discussed potential activities which are applicable for the project. NB confirmed that as the project is in an urban area, only activities 12 and 19 of Listing Notice 1 would be applicable.	HB and YG to confirm Listing Notice 3 activities.
8	<p>HB noted that a Wetland Assessment as well as a Vegetation Assessment and Heritage Assessment were required.</p> <p>HB explained that a Wetland Assessment (including Rehab Plan) had been done by SiVEST for the WULA for Nodes 1 & 5 in September 2015. YG confirmed that this study could be used and did not have to be updated to remove the areas not applicable to the BA, provided HB clearly outlines the applicable impacts in the BA.</p> <p>HB stated that a Vegetation Assessment was done for the Sibaya Node 4 and Cornubia North portions separately. YG requested that an Addendum to these reports is prepared to consolidate the findings and confirm that there are no changes considering the time lapse.</p> <p>HB explained that a Heritage Assessment was done for Node 4 but not for the Cornubia North portion and that an assessment for this portion was now underway.</p>	N/A

Item	Discussion / Resolution	Action
9	The Basic Assessment and Public Participation Process timeframes were detailed. YG confirmed that the name of the project would be sufficient for the Public Participation (BID, Site Notices, Adverts) which is to be done prior to the lodging of the Application for Environmental Authorisation and subsequent receipt of a reference number from the EDTEA. YG accepted that the submission of the Application form together with the Consultation BAR for public review and comment would be acceptable.	N/A
10	HB queried if a Public Meeting would be required. YG advised that only if a Public Meeting is requested, should it be held. She requested that the Sibaya Casino be consulted as an I&AP.	Sibaya Casino to be consulted.
11	HB thanked YG and JI for their time. She further reiterated the urgency of the application and the constructed timeframes.	N/A